

# CHAPTER 10

## SOCIAL SERVICE NEEDS

### CHAPTER TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Limiting Physical, Mental, and Other Health Conditions .....	10-2
Disabled Adults .....	10-11
Households with Disabled Adult Children at Home .....	10-11
Need for Selected Social Services in the Past Year .....	10-12
Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly Person .....	10-12
Help in Coordinating Services for a Non-Elderly Disabled Person .....	10-12
Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling .....	10-13
Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation .....	10-13
Programs for Jewish Children with Special Needs .....	10-14
Need for Selected Social Services for Households with Persons	
Age 75 and over in the Past Year .....	10-14
In-Home Health Care .....	10-14
Senior Transportation .....	10-15
Home-Delivered Meals .....	10-15
Need for Selected Social Services Among Population Subgroups	
in the Past Year .....	10-30
Hospitalized for Three or More Consecutive Nights .....	10-37
Caring for Elderly Relatives .....	10-39
The Sandwich Generation .....	10-39
Local Adult Children .....	10-45
Low Income Households .....	10-45

*Uphold the cause of the fatherless and the widow, and befriends the stranger, providing him with food and clothing.*

(DEUTERONOMY 10:18)

## LIMITING PHYSICAL, MENTAL, AND OTHER HEALTH CONDITIONS

**T**able 10-1 shows that 20% (14,300 households) of Jewish households in Broward contain an adult who has a physical, mental, or other health condition (*health-limited adult*) that has lasted for six months or more and limits or prevents employment, educational opportunities, or daily activities. Each respondent defined “physical, mental, or other health condition” for himself/herself. Included in the 20% are 7% (4,900 households) of households in which an adult needs daily assistance as a result of his/her condition and 2% (1,200 households) of households in which an adult needs weekly assistance as a result of his/her condition.

22% (2,300 households) of elderly couple households contain a health-limited adult, including 11% (1,100 households) in which the adult needs daily assistance. 30% (4,100 households) of elderly single households are health limited, including 10% (1,300 households) who need daily assistance.

1% of Holocaust survivor households are health limited.

**Community Comparisons.** Table 10-2 shows that the 20% of households containing a **health-limited adult** is about average among about 40 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 22% in South Palm Beach, 20% in West Palm Beach, 18% in Las Vegas, and 15% in Miami. The 20% compares to 21% in 1997.

The 7% of households containing a **health-limited adult who needs daily assistance** is about average among about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 7% in both South Palm Beach and West Palm Beach, 6% in Miami, and 5% in Las Vegas. The 7% compares to 7% in 1997.

Table 10-3 shows that the 22% of **elderly couple households** containing a health-limited adult is about average among about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 23% in Miami, 22% in both South Palm Beach and West Palm Beach, and 19% in Las Vegas. The 22% compares to 26% in 1997.

The 11% of **elderly couple households containing a health-limited adult who needs daily assistance** is about average among about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 11% in Miami, 9% in both South Palm Beach and West Palm Beach, and 7% in Las Vegas. The 11% compares to 11% in 1997.

Table 10-4 shows that the 30% of **elderly single households** who are health limited is about average among about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 31% in Las Vegas, 29% in Miami, 27% in South Palm Beach, and 21% in West Palm Beach. The 30% compares to 26% in 1997.

The 10% of **elderly single households who need daily assistance** is about average among about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 9% in Miami, 7% in South Palm Beach, 5% in Las Vegas, and 4% in West Palm Beach. The 10% compares to 6% in 1997.

**Comparisons Among Population Subgroups.** Table 10-1 shows that, overall, 20% of households contain a health-limited adult. The percentage is much higher in:

- the North Central (39%)
- elderly single households (30%)
- households earning an annual income under \$25,000 (39%) and \$25,000-\$50,000 (40%)

The percentage is much lower in:

- non-elderly couple households (6%) and non-elderly single households (8%)
- households earning an annual income of \$100,000-\$200,000 (8%) and \$200,000 and over (7%)

<b>TABLE 10-1</b>				
<b>HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH AN ADULT IS HEALTH LIMITED</b>				
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>				
	<b>Health-Limited Adult in Household</b>			
	<b>No Assistance Needed</b>	<b>Assistance Needed</b>		<b>Total</b>
<b>Population Subgroup</b>		<b>Daily</b>	<b>Weekly</b>	
All	11.4%	6.8	1.7	19.9%
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>				
Northwest	9.9%	1.8	0.9	12.6%
North Central	23.9%	12.9	2.4	39.2%
East	10.6%	1.4	2.5	14.5%
West Central	10.8%	10.9	0.7	22.4%
Southeast	3.5%	5.6	3.2	12.3%
Southwest	14.0%	5.5	1.6	21.1%
<b>HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE</b>				
Household with Children	7.9%	3.4	0.2	11.5%
Household with Only Adult Children	12.9%	4.8	2.7	20.4%
Non-Elderly Couple	5.4%	0.1	0.1	5.6%
Non-Elderly Single	5.0%	2.7	0.0	7.7%
Elderly Couple	6.3%	10.8	4.8	21.9%
Elderly Single	19.4%	10.0	0.7	30.1%
<b>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</b>				
Under \$25,000	26.0%	8.3	4.7	39.0%
\$25,000 - \$50,000	23.7%	13.1	3.0	39.8%
\$50,000 - \$100,000	8.9%	4.9	1.3	15.1%
\$100,000 - \$200,000	6.6%	1.2	0.5	8.3%
\$200,000 and over	2.4%	4.1	0.0	6.5%

<b>TABLE 10-2 HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH A MEMBER IS HEALTH LIMITED COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>			
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>			
		<b>Health-Limited Member in Household</b>	
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Daily Assistance Needed</b>
Martin-St. Lucie	1999	23%	6%
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	22%	7%
St. Paul	2004	22%	7%
Middlesex	2008	21%	7%
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>7%</b>
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>7%</b>
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	20%	7%
Minneapolis	2004	19%	8%
New Haven	2010	19%	7%
Tucson	2002	19%	5%
Lehigh Valley	2007	18%	7%
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	18%	5%
St. Petersburg	1994	18%	4%
Cincinnati	2008	18%	NA
York	1999	17%	9%
Portland (ME)	2007	17%	6%
Atlantic County	2004	17%	6%
San Antonio	2007	17%	5%
Detroit	2005	17%	4%
Rochester	1999	17%	4%
Los Angeles	1997	16%	7%

**TABLE 10-2  
HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH A MEMBER IS HEALTH LIMITED  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS

		Health-Limited Member in Household	
Community	Year	Total	Daily Assistance Needed
Rhode Island	2002	16%	4%
San Diego	2003	16%	NA
<b>Miami</b> <sup>1</sup>	2014	15%	6%
Sarasota	2001	15%	5%
Hartford	2000	15%	5%
Milwaukee	1996	15%	5%
Jacksonville	2002	14%	6%
Bergen	2001	14%	5%
Harrisburg	1994	14%	5%
Tidewater	2001	14%	4%
Monmouth	1997	14%	4%
Wilmington	1995	12%	5%
Richmond	1994	11%	3%
Orlando	1993	10%	4%
Seattle	2000	8%	3%
Westport	2000	8%	3%
Charlotte	1997	8%	3%
Washington	2003	8%	2%
NJPS <sup>2</sup>	2000	13%	4%

<sup>1</sup> Health-Limited adults only.

<sup>2</sup> NJPS 2000 data are for the *more Jewishly-connected sample*.

<b>TABLE 10-3 ELDERLY COUPLE HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH A MEMBER IS HEALTH LIMITED COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>			
<b>BASE: ELDERLY COUPLE JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>			
		<b>Health-Limited Member in Household</b>	
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Daily Assistance Needed</b>
St. Paul	2004	43%	24%
York	1999	40%	18%
Minneapolis	2004	33%	15%
San Diego	2003	31%	NA
Harrisburg	1994	30%	8%
St. Petersburg	1994	28%	8%
Richmond	1994	28%	5%
Cincinnati	2008	28%	NA
Middlesex	2008	27%	12%
Tucson	2002	27%	5%
Jacksonville	2002	26%	12%
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Charlotte	1997	25%	11%
Rhode Island	2002	25%	8%
Tidewater	2001	25%	8%
Wilmington	1995	24%	18%
Rochester	1999	24%	6%
<b>Miami</b>	2014	23%	11%
San Antonio	2007	23%	10%
Hartford	2000	23%	8%
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>11%</b>

<b>TABLE 10-3 ELDERLY COUPLE HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH A MEMBER IS HEALTH LIMITED COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>			
<b>BASE: ELDERLY COUPLE JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>			
		<b>Health-Limited Member in Household</b>	
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Daily Assistance Needed</b>
Lehigh Valley	2007	22%	10%
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	22%	9%
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	22%	9%
Washington	2003	21%	12%
New Haven	2010	21%	11%
Portland (ME)	2007	21%	11%
Orlando	1993	21%	10%
Atlantic County	2004	20%	9%
Monmouth	1997	20%	6%
Milwaukee	1996	20%	6%
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	19%	7%
Bergen	2001	18%	8%
Martin-St. Lucie	1999	18%	7%
Detroit	2005	16%	3%
Sarasota	2001	14%	6%
Westport	2000	14%	0%
NJPS <sup>1</sup>	2000	22%	12%

<sup>1</sup> NJPS 2000 data are for the *more Jewishly-connected sample*.



<b>TABLE 10-4 ELDERLY SINGLE HOUSEHOLDS WHO ARE HEALTH LIMITED COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>			
<b>BASE: ELDERLY SINGLE JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>			
		<b>Health-Limited Household</b>	
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Daily Assistance Needed</b>
Lehigh Valley	2007	39%	11%
New Haven	2010	38%	12%
St. Paul	2004	37%	14%
Martin-St. Lucie	1999	37%	11%
Minneapolis	2004	36%	12%
Cincinnati	2008	36%	NA
Tucson	2002	34%	11%
Rochester	1999	33%	11%
Detroit	2005	33%	5%
Portland (ME)	2007	32%	9%
Rhode Island	2002	32%	9%
Harrisburg	1994	31%	14%
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	31%	5%
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Wilmington	1995	30%	12%
<b>Miami</b>	2014	29%	9%
San Antonio	2007	29%	4%
San Diego	2003	29%	NA
Monmouth	1997	28%	8%
Middlesex	2008	28%	7%
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	27%	7%

**TABLE 10-4  
ELDERLY SINGLE HOUSEHOLDS WHO ARE HEALTH LIMITED  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: ELDERLY SINGLE JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS**

Community	Year	Health-Limited Household	
		Total	Daily Assistance Needed
Bergen	2001	27%	4%
Atlantic County	2004	26%	6%
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Milwaukee	1996	24%	6%
Tidewater	2001	24%	5%
Richmond	1994	23%	6%
Jacksonville	2002	22%	12%
Sarasota	2001	22%	7%
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	21%	4%
Hartford	2000	20%	7%
Washington	2003	20%	4%
St. Petersburg	1994	19%	1%
York	1999	16%	7%
Charlotte	1997	13%	4%
Orlando	1993	9%	5%
Westport	2000	9%	0%
NJPS <sup>1</sup>	2000	28%	5%

<sup>1</sup> NJPS 2000 data are for the *more Jewishly-connected sample*.

## **DISABLED ADULTS**

Chapter 5 shows that 3.4% (5,000 adults) of adults in Jewish households in Broward are disabled and consequently unable to work. The nature of the disability was not queried.

## **HOUSEHOLDS WITH DISABLED ADULT CHILDREN AT HOME**

0.5% (360 households) of Jewish households in Broward contain a disabled adult child (age 18 and over) who is unable to work and lives at home with his/her parents or other adults. The nature of the disability was not queried. The 0.4% does not include households in which the disabled adult children are living in group homes, either in Broward or elsewhere.

## NEED FOR SELECTED SOCIAL SERVICES IN THE PAST YEAR

**W**hile the best indicators of social service needs include such factors as age, household structure, and household income, respondents in Jewish households in Broward were asked directly about their need for a variety of social services in the past year. When respondents reported that their households needed a service, they were asked whether the service had been received. If the households received the service, the respondents were asked whether the service had been received from a Jewish source (*Jewish help*) or a non-Jewish source (*other help*). In examining these results, it should be noted that some respondents may feel uneasy about admitting the need for some of these services. Thus, it is likely that this study underestimates the actual need for social services in the past year.

### Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly Person

**Table 10-5** shows that 13.1% (9,400 households) of households needed **help in coordinating services for an elderly person** (*coordinating services*) in the past year. Included in the 13.1% are 1.4% (1,000 households) of households who did not receive help in coordinating services, 1.9% (1,400 households) who received help from Jewish sources, and 9.8% (7,000 households) who received help from non-Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed help in coordinating services received it, and most households received the help from non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** **Table 10-6** shows that the 13.1% who needed **help in coordinating services** in the past year is about average among about 20 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 15.3% in Miami, 11.2% in South Palm Beach, and 10.3% in West Palm Beach. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that most households who needed help in coordinating services received it, and most households received the help from non-Jewish sources.

### Help in Coordinating Services for a Non-Elderly Disabled Person

**Table 10-5** shows that 4.9% (3,500 households) of households needed **help in coordinating services for a non-elderly disabled person** in the past year. Included in the 4.9% are 1.4% (1,000 households) of households who did not receive help in coordinating services, 0.8% (600 households) who received help from Jewish sources, and 2.7% (1,900 households) who received help from non-Jewish sources. The 4.9% in Broward compares to 4.3% in Miami.

### Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling

**Table 10-5** shows that 13.8% (9,900 households) of households needed **marital, family, or personal counseling** (*counseling*) in the past year. Included in the 13.8% are 1.9% (1,300 households) of households who did not receive counseling, 1.5% (1,100 households) who received counseling from Jewish sources, and 10.4% (7,500 households) who received counseling from non-Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed counseling received it, and most households received counseling from non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** **Table 10-7** shows that the 13.8% who needed **counseling** in the past year is about average among about 40 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 8.6% in Miami, 7.8% in Las Vegas, 6.2% in South Palm Beach, and 5.2% in West Palm Beach. The 13.8% compares to 8.2% in 1997. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that most households who needed counseling received it, and most households received counseling from non-Jewish sources.

### Help with Mental Health Issues

**Table 10-5** shows that 9.6% (6,900 households) of households needed **help with mental health issues** in the past year. Included in the 9.6% are 1.5% (1,100 households) of households who did not receive help in coordinating services, 1.1% (800 households) who received help from Jewish sources, and 7.0% (5,000 households) who received help from non-Jewish sources.

### Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation

**Table 10-5** shows that 15.5% (7,300 households) of households with adults age 18-64 needed **help in finding a job or choosing an occupation** (*job counseling*) in the past year. Included in the 15.5% are 8.7% (4,100 households) of households who did not receive job counseling and 6.8% (3,200 households) who received counseling from non-Jewish sources. No households received job counseling from Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed job counseling did not receive it, and all households who received job counseling received it from non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** **Table 10-8** shows that the 15.5% who needed **job counseling** in the past year is the third highest of about 30 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 12.2% in Miami, 11.4% in Las Vegas, 9.1% in South Palm Beach, and 7.9% in West Palm Beach. The 15.5% compares to 7.9% in 1997. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that about half of households who needed job counseling received it, and most households received job counseling from non-Jewish sources.

### Programs for Jewish Children with Special Needs

**Table 10-5** shows that 12.0% (1,400 households) of households with Jewish children age 0-17 needed **programs for children with learning disabilities or other special needs, such as developmental disabilities** (*learning disabled programs*) in the past year. The nature or degree of the learning disability or other special need was not queried. Included in the 12.0% are 2.4% (300 households) of households who did not enroll the children in learning disabled or special needs programs, 1.3% (150 households) who enrolled the children in learning disabled or special needs programs provided by Jewish sources, and 8.3% (950 households) who enrolled the children in programs provided by non-Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed learning disabled or special needs programs enrolled the children in such programs, and most households enrolled the children in programs provided by non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** **Table 10-9** shows that the 12.0% who needed **learning disabled programs** in the past year is about average among about 30 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 13.2% in Las Vegas, 12.9% in West Palm Beach, 11.2% in Miami, and 9.7% in South Palm Beach. The 12.0% compares to 10.2% in 1997. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that most households who needed learning disabled programs enrolled the children in such programs, and most households enrolled the children in learning disabled programs provided by non-Jewish sources.

## NEED FOR SELECTED SOCIAL SERVICES FOR HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER IN THE PAST YEAR

**T**he need for four social services in the past year was examined for Jewish households with persons age 75 and over in Broward.

### In-Home Health Care

**Table 10-5** shows that 31.7% (5,600 households) of households with persons age 75 and over needed **in-home health care** in the past year. Included in the 31.7% are 0.7% (100 households) of households who did not receive in-home health care, 5.2% (900 households) who received in-home health care from Jewish sources; and 25.8% (4,600 households) who received in-home health care from non-Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed in-home health care received it, and all households who received in-home health care received it from non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** Table 10-10 shows that the 32% who needed **in-home health care** in the past year is the highest of about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 28.3% in Miami, 18.3% in South Palm Beach, 17.2% in West Palm Beach, and 10.7 in Las Vegas. The 31.7% compares to 15.7% in 1997. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that most households who needed in-home health care received it.

### Senior Transportation

Table 10-5 shows that 18.3% (3,200 households) of households with persons age 75 and over needed **senior transportation** in the past year. Included in the 18.3% are 4.7% (800 households) of households who did not receive senior transportation, 3.8% (700 households) who received senior transportation from Jewish sources, and 9.8% (1,700 households) who received senior transportation from non-Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed senior transportation received it, and most households received senior transportation from non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** Table 10-11 shows that the 18.3% who needed **senior transportation** in the past year is the sixth highest of about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 14.5% in Miami, 14.3% in Las Vegas, 8.1% in South Palm Beach, and 6.8% in West Palm Beach. The 18.3% compares to 11.5% in 1997. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that most households who needed senior transportation received it.

### Home-Delivered Meals

Table 10-5 shows that 6.6% (1,150 households) of households with persons age 75 and over needed **home-delivered meals** in the past year. Included in the 6.6% are 1.9% (300 households) of households who did not receive home-delivered meals, 0.5% (100 households) who received home-delivered meals from Jewish sources, and 4.2% (750 households) who received home delivered meals from non-Jewish sources. Thus, most households who needed home-delivered meals received them, and most households received home-delivered meals from non-Jewish sources.

**Community Comparisons.** Table 10-12 shows that the 6.6% who needed **home-delivered meals** in the past year is above average among about 25 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 5.3% in Miami, 1.9% in West Palm Beach, and 1.7% in South Palm Beach. The 6.6% compares to 3.4% in 1997. The general pattern among the comparison Jewish communities is that most households who needed home-delivered meals received them.

<b>TABLE 10-5 NEED FOR SELECTED SOCIAL SERVICES IN THE PAST YEAR</b>					
<b>Social Service</b>	<b>Needed Help</b>				<b>Did Not Need Help</b>
	<b>Total Who Needed Help</b>	<b>Received Jewish Help</b>	<b>Received Other Help</b>	<b>No Help Received</b>	
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>					
Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly Person	13.1%	1.9%	9.8	1.4	86.9
Help in Coordinating Services for a Non-Elderly Disabled Person	4.9%	0.8%	2.7	1.4	95.1
Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling	13.8%	1.5%	10.4	1.9	86.2
Help with Mental Health Issues	9.6%	1.1%	7.0	1.5	90.4
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH ADULTS AGE 18-64</b>					
Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation	15.5%	0.0%	6.8	8.7	84.5
<b>BASE: HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17</b>					
Programs for children with learning disabilities or other special needs, such as developmental disabilities	12.0%	1.3%	8.3	2.4	88.0
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER</b>					
In-Home Health Care	31.7%	5.2%	25.8	0.7	68.3
Home-Delivered Meals	6.6%	0.5%	4.2	1.9	93.4
Senior Transportation	18.3%	3.8%	9.8	4.7	81.7



**TABLE 10-6  
NEED FOR HELP IN COORDINATING SERVICES  
FOR AN ELDERLY OR DISABLED PERSON IN THE PAST YEAR  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS

<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Help in Coordinating Services</b>	<b>Received Jewish Help in Coordinating Services</b>	<b>Received Other Help in Coordinating Services</b>	<b>No Help in Coordinating Services Received</b>
Lehigh Valley	2007	17.6%	2.7%	13.1	1.8
Middlesex	2008	17.3%	3.0%	12.4	1.9
Minneapolis	2004	16.5%	7.3%	6.6	2.6
St. Paul	2004	15.8%	6.4%	7.9	1.5
<b>Miami</b>	2014	15.3%	3.3%	10.6	1.4
New Haven	2010	14.8%	2.2%	11.8	0.8
Atlantic County	2004	14.1%	3.4%	9.7	1.0
Tucson	2002	13.8%	3.9%	7.6	2.3
San Antonio	2007	13.2%	2.6%	9.9	0.7
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Tidewater	2001	13.1%	4.6%	6.2	2.3
Portland (ME)	2007	13.0%	1.3%	10.6	1.1
Rhode Island	2002	12.5%	2.9%	7.1	2.5
Bergen	2001	11.9%	2.5%	7.0	2.4
Washington	2003	11.6%	3.4%	7.0	1.2
Detroit	2005	11.4%	5.2%	5.5	0.7
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	11.2%	1.9%	7.8	1.5
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	10.3%	2.2%	7.1	1.0
Jacksonville	2002	9.5%	5.0%	3.6	0.9
Sarasota	2001	8.3%	3.6%	4.3	0.4
Phoenix *	2002	20.0%	NA	NA	NA
Pittsburgh *	2002	19.0%	NA	NA	NA

\* Question was asked about *needing assistance for an elderly relative*.

<b>TABLE 10-7 NEED FOR MARITAL, FAMILY, OR PERSONAL COUNSELING IN THE PAST YEAR COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Counseling</b>	<b>Received Jewish Counseling</b>	<b>Received Other Counseling</b>	<b>No Counseling Received</b>
Seattle	2000	20.5%	1.2%	17.9	1.4
San Francisco	2004	19.0%	0.0%	15.0	4.0
York	1999	18.1%	2.2%	14.2	1.7
Charlotte	1997	16.7%	1.5%	13.5	1.7
Los Angeles	1997	16.2%	2.0%	12.3	1.9
Harrisburg	1994	16.1%	2.1%	13.7	0.3
Tucson	2002	15.7%	0.7%	13.0	2.0
Milwaukee	1996	15.6%	3.2%	12.2	0.2
Minneapolis	2004	14.9%	2.6%	10.4	1.9
Tidewater	2001	14.0%	2.8%	8.8	2.4
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>
Westport	2000	13.6%	0.3%	12.3	1.0
St. Paul	2004	13.4%	2.4%	9.8	1.2
Bergen	2001	13.1%	1.7%	10.0	1.4
Rochester	1999	13.1%	1.1%	10.5	1.5
Rhode Island	2002	12.7%	1.0%	10.1	1.6
Portland (ME)	2007	12.1%	0.2%	10.4	1.5
Washington	2003	12.1%	1.3%	10.2	0.6
Hartford	2000	11.9%	1.4%	9.8	0.7
Richmond	1994	11.2%	1.2%	8.0	2.0
Wilmington	1995	11.0%	1.7%	8.1	1.2

<b>TABLE 10-7 NEED FOR MARITAL, FAMILY, OR PERSONAL COUNSELING IN THE PAST YEAR COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Counseling</b>	<b>Received Jewish Counseling</b>	<b>Received Other Counseling</b>	<b>No Counseling Received</b>
San Antonio	2007	10.4%	1.7%	7.0	1.7
Detroit	2005	10.4%	1.8%	7.4	1.2
Monmouth	1997	10.1%	1.1%	8.0	1.0
New Haven	2010	9.8%	0.5%	8.3	1.0
Lehigh Valley	2007	9.8%	0.8%	8.8	0.2
St. Petersburg	1994	9.2%	1.7%	6.0	1.5
Jacksonville	2002	9.0%	0.9%	7.8	0.3
<b>Miami</b>	2014	8.6%	1.4%	5.5	1.7
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	7.8%	0.5%	6.0	1.3
Middlesex	2008	6.7%	1.1%	5.5	0.1
Sarasota	2001	6.6%	2.3%	3.9	0.4
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	6.2%	1.5%	3.6	1.1
Atlantic County	2004	6.1%	0.8%	4.5	0.8
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	5.2%	1.5%	2.3	1.4

**TABLE 10-8  
NEED FOR HELP IN FINDING A JOB  
OR CHOOSING AN OCCUPATION IN THE PAST YEAR  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH ADULTS AGE 18-64**

<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Job Counseling</b>	<b>Received Jewish Job Counseling</b>	<b>Received Other Job Counseling</b>	<b>No Job Counseling Received</b>
Minneapolis	2004	17.9%	3.1%	8.0	6.8
St. Paul	2004	16.2%	2.1%	8.7	5.4
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>
Detroit	2005	14.5%	1.8%	6.8	5.9
<b>Miami</b>	2014	12.2%	1.3%	4.0	6.9
New Haven	2010	11.9%	0.0%	3.9	8.0
Charlotte	1997	11.7%	0.1%	5.3	6.3
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	11.4%	0.0%	6.0	5.4
Tucson	2002	11.2%	0.7%	4.9	5.6
Wilmington	1995	10.6%	0.3%	5.7	4.6
Middlesex	2008	10.5%	0.0%	6.1	4.4
Washington	2003	10.5%	0.9%	4.9	4.7
San Antonio	2007	9.7%	0.0%	3.5	6.2
Bergen	2001	9.7%	1.6%	3.7	4.4
Monmouth	1997	9.6%	0.0%	5.0	4.6
Lehigh Valley	2007	9.2%	0.0%	4.5	4.7
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	9.1%	0.0%	3.2	5.9
Rhode Island	2002	8.8%	0.1%	5.0	3.7
Richmond	1994	8.6%	0.2%	4.3	4.1
Tidewater	2001	8.5%	0.4%	4.5	3.6

**TABLE 10-8  
NEED FOR HELP IN FINDING A JOB  
OR CHOOSING AN OCCUPATION IN THE PAST YEAR  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH ADULTS AGE 18-64**

<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Job Counseling</b>	<b>Received Jewish Job Counseling</b>	<b>Received Other Job Counseling</b>	<b>No Job Counseling Received</b>
Milwaukee	1996	8.1%	2.4%	2.9	2.8
Rochester	1999	8.0%	1.3%	4.0	2.7
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	7.9%	0.0%	2.1	5.8
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>
Hartford	2000	6.9%	0.0%	4.1	2.8
Westport	2000	6.8%	0.1%	3.9	2.8
Atlantic County	2004	4.9%	0.0%	1.5	3.4
Jacksonville	2002	2.7%	0.0%	1.6	1.1
NJPS <sup>1</sup>	2000	9.5%	0.6%	3.1	5.8

<sup>1</sup> NJPS 2000 data are for the *more Jewishly-connected sample*.

<b>TABLE 10-9            NEED FOR PROGRAMS FOR JEWISH CHILDREN            WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES OR OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS, SUCH AS            DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES IN THE PAST YEAR            COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Learning Disabled Programs</b>	<b>Attended Jewish Learning Disabled Programs</b>	<b>Attended Other Learning Disabled Programs</b>	<b>No Learning Disabled Programs Attended</b>
San Antonio	2007	14.4%	1.0%	10.7	2.7
Minneapolis	2004	14.4%	1.6%	10.4	2.4
Washington	2003	14.1%	1.8%	8.3	4.0
Hartford	2000	13.6%	0.0%	11.1	2.5
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	13.2%	0.0%	11.8	1.4
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	12.9%	0.0%	11.8	1.1
Bergen	2001	12.1%	3.4%	6.5	2.2
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>2.4</b>
New Haven	2010	11.9%	0.9%	8.4	2.6
<b>Miami</b> <sup>1</sup>	2014	11.2%	1.7%	7.8	1.7
St. Paul	2004	10.9%	1.8%	6.4	2.7
Middlesex	2008	10.7%	1.1%	7.0	2.6
Rochester	1999	10.6%	0.0%	8.5	2.1
Rhode Island	2002	10.5%	0.8%	8.2	1.5
Detroit	2005	10.4%	2.5%	6.2	1.7
Tucson	2002	10.2%	2.0%	3.1	5.1
Tidewater	2001	10.2%	0.7%	8.8	0.7
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>3.4</b>

<b>TABLE 10-9 NEED FOR PROGRAMS FOR JEWISH CHILDREN WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES OR OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS, SUCH AS DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES IN THE PAST YEAR COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Learning Disabled Programs</b>	<b>Attended Jewish Learning Disabled Programs</b>	<b>Attended Other Learning Disabled Programs</b>	<b>No Learning Disabled Programs Attended</b>
Atlantic County	2004	9.8%	0.0%	9.8	0.0
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	9.7%	1.0%	6.4	2.3
Jacksonville	2002	9.7%	0.8%	7.3	1.6
Westport	2000	9.7%	0.0%	8.5	1.2
Sarasota	2001	9.3%	0.0%	7.4	1.9
Monmouth	1997	9.0%	0.0%	7.1	1.9
Lehigh Valley	2007	8.8%	1.0%	6.7	1.1
Milwaukee	1996	7.6%	0.6%	6.4	0.6
Charlotte	1997	7.1%	0.0%	5.3	1.8
Richmond	1994	6.3%	0.0%	6.3	0.0
Wilmington	1995	4.9%	0.7%	2.1	2.1

<sup>1</sup> Question was asked about a need for help or screening for Jewish children with physical, developmental, or learning disabilities or other special needs

<b>TABLE 10-10 NEED FOR IN-HOME HEALTH CARE FOR THE ELDERLY IN THE PAST YEAR COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>Received Jewish In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>Received Other In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>No In-Home Health Care Received</b>
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>25.8</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>Miami</b>	2014	28.3%	26.6%		1.7
San Antonio	2007	25.4%	1.2%	23.7	0.5
Wilmington	1995	24.2%	0.0%	24.2	0.0
Monmouth	1997	24.0%	0.0%	22.0	2.0
Jacksonville	2002	23.8%	0.0%	21.7	2.1
Lehigh Valley	2007	22.0%	0.9%	21.1	0.0
Minneapolis	2004	21.5%	2.8%	17.1	1.6
Rochester	1999	21.0%	0.8%	18.2	2.0
New Haven	2010	20.9%	0.0%	19.7	1.2
Hartford	2000	20.4%	4.0%	15.5	0.9
Rhode Island	2002	19.0%	2.5%	15.9	0.6
Detroit	2005	18.4%	3.3%	14.1	1.0
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	18.3%	0.9%	16.5	0.9
Middlesex	2008	17.4%	0.0%	16.6	0.8
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	17.2%	1.0%	15.4	0.8
St. Paul	2004	16.8%	2.8%	14.0	0.0
Bergen	2001	16.6%	0.0%	15.5	1.1
Portland (ME)	2007	16.6%	0.0%	12.5	4.1
York	1999	16.3%	0.0%	15.2	1.1



<b>TABLE 10-10 NEED FOR IN-HOME HEALTH CARE FOR THE ELDERLY IN THE PAST YEAR COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>Received Jewish In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>Received Other In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>No In-Home Health Care Received</b>
Richmond	1994	16.1%	2.6%	12.1	1.4
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>
Tucson	2002	15.0%	1.2%	11.4	2.4
St. Petersburg	1994	13.9%	0.0%	13.9	0.0
Atlantic County	2004	13.8%	0.6%	12.2	1.0
Milwaukee	1996	13.6%	1.2%	11.2	1.2
Washington	2003	12.9%	1.7%	11.2	0.0
San Francisco	2004	12.9%	1.3%	11.6	0.0
Tidewater	2001	12.0%	6.7%	4.1	1.2
Sarasota	2001	11.3%	0.0%	11.3	0.0
Harrisburg	1994	11.3%	2.2%	8.5	0.6
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	10.7%	0.5%	9.2	1.0
Westport	2000	9.7%	0.0%	9.7	0.0
Charlotte	1997	7.7%	0.0%	7.7	0.0

<b>TABLE 10-11</b>					
<b>NEED FOR SENIOR TRANSPORTATION IN THE PAST YEAR</b>					
<b>COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>					
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER</b>					
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Senior Transportation</b>	<b>Received Jewish Senior Transportation</b>	<b>Received Other Senior Transportation</b>	<b>No Senior Transportation Received</b>
Minneapolis	2004	26.7%	9.9%	11.3	5.5
St. Paul	2004	26.1%	10.3%	13.0	2.8
Wilmington	1995	23.8%	5.8%	13.8	4.2
San Francisco	2004	19.9%	2.6%	12.1	5.2
Bergen	2001	19.6%	2.0%	15.6	2.0
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>
New Haven	2010	18.1%	1.6%	14.6	1.9
Monmouth	1997	16.4%	0.0%	9.9	6.5
Middlesex	2008	16.3%	0.9%	14.5	0.9
Rochester	1999	15.7%	3.6%	10.9	1.2
Milwaukee	1996	15.1%	5.6%	8.9	0.6
York	1999	15.1%	0.0%	15.1	0.0
Hartford	2000	15.1%	1.0%	13.1	1.0
Charlotte	1997	15.1%	2.2%	12.9	0.0
<b>Miami</b>	2014	14.5%	12.1%		2.4
Portland (ME)	2007	14.3%	1.0%	9.8	3.5
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	14.3%	0.5%	10.4	3.4
Seattle	2000	14.1%	0.0%	12.1	2.0
Rhode Island	2002	13.6%	2.9%	10.5	0.2
Lehigh Valley	2007	13.6%	1.9%	7.0	4.7
Tucson	2002	13.3%	1.2%	6.8	5.3

**TABLE 10-11  
NEED FOR SENIOR TRANSPORTATION IN THE PAST YEAR  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER**

<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b><i>Total Who Needed Senior Transportation</i></b>	<b>Received Jewish Senior Transportation</b>	<b>Received Other Senior Transportation</b>	<b>No Senior Transportation Received</b>
Atlantic County	2004	13.1%	2.3%	10.2	0.6
Jacksonville	2002	12.8%	2.9%	5.7	4.2
Washington	2003	12.6%	1.2%	8.5	2.9
Detroit	2005	12.3%	3.2%	5.5	3.6
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Richmond	1994	9.4%	3.3%	4.5	1.6
San Antonio	2007	9.4%	1.7%	4.6	3.1
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	8.1%	0.5%	5.3	2.3
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	6.8%	0.4%	4.6	1.8
Tidewater	2001	5.1%	1.8%	1.7	1.6
Westport	2000	5.0%	0.0%	3.6	1.4
Sarasota	2001	3.9%	0.0%	3.2	0.7
Los Angeles	1997	2.4%	0.5%	1.7	0.2

**TABLE 10-12  
NEED FOR HOME-DELIVERED MEALS IN THE PAST YEAR  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER**

<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Home-Delivered Meals</b>	<b>Received Jewish Home-Delivered Meals</b>	<b>Received Other Home-Delivered Meals</b>	<b>No Home-Delivered Meals Received</b>
St. Paul	2004	12.3%	7.4%	4.4	0.5
Monmouth	1997	7.9%	0.0%	5.0	2.9
Jacksonville	2002	7.5%	0.8%	2.1	4.6
Minneapolis	2004	7.1%	5.1%	1.5	0.5
Portland (ME)	2007	7.0%	2.9%	4.1	0.0
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>
<b>Miami</b>	2014	5.3%	5.0%		0.3
Bergen	2001	5.1%	2.5%	1.3	1.3
Middlesex	2008	4.9%	3.1%	1.5	0.3
New Haven	2010	4.3%	0.6%	3.1	0.6
Wilmington	1995	4.1%	0.0%	3.0	1.1
Hartford	2000	3.6%	1.8%	1.8	0.0
<b>Broward</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Atlantic County	2004	3.3%	2.7%	0.6	0.0
Milwaukee	1996	2.9%	0.7%	1.9	0.3
San Antonio	2007	2.9%	0.0%	2.4	0.5
Rochester	1999	2.4%	1.2%	1.2	0.0
Lehigh Valley	2007	2.3%	1.5%	0.8	0.0
Tidewater	2001	2.2%	1.8%	0.0	0.4
<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	1.9%	0.5%	0.6	0.8

**TABLE 10-12  
NEED FOR HOME-DELIVERED MEALS IN THE PAST YEAR  
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER**

<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Who Needed Home-Delivered Meals</b>	<b>Received Jewish Home-Delivered Meals</b>	<b>Received Other Home-Delivered Meals</b>	<b>No Home-Delivered Meals Received</b>
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	1.7%	0.4%	1.1	0.2
Tucson	2002	1.6%	0.0%	0.5	1.1
Rhode Island	2002	1.6%	0.4%	1.2	0.0
Washington	2003	1.5%	0.0%	1.5	0.0
Sarasota	2001	0.8%	0.0%	0.4	0.4
Detroit	2005	0.4%	0.0%	0.0	0.4
Westport	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	0.0
Charlotte	1997	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	0.0
San Francisco *	2004	2.9%	0.6%	2.3	0.0
Los Angeles *	1997	2.4%	0.5%	1.7	0.2
Seattle *	2000	0.9%	0.2%	0.7	0.0

\* Question was asked about *home-delivered meals or meal sites for the elderly*.

## **NEED FOR SELECTED SOCIAL SERVICES AMONG POPULATION SUBGROUPS IN THE PAST YEAR**

**T**ables 10-13 to 10-16 show, for various population subgroups, the percentage of Jewish households in Broward who in the past year needed each of the social services discussed in the preceding sections.

### **Comparisons Among Population Subgroups.**

#### **Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly Person**

**Table 10-13** shows that, overall, 13% of households needed help in coordinating services for an elderly person in the past year. The percentage is much lower in:

- households in the East (5%)

#### **Help in Coordinating Services for a Non-Elderly Disabled Person**

**Table 10-13** shows that, overall, 5% of households needed help in coordinating services for a non-elderly disabled person in the past year. No population subgroups show important differences from the overall percentage.

#### **Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling**

**Table 10-13** shows that, overall, 14% of households needed marital, family, or personal counseling in the past year. The percentage is much lower in:

- non-elderly single households (3%)

#### **Help with Mental Health Issues**

**Table 10-13** shows that, overall, 10% of households needed help with mental issues in the past year. No population subgroups show important differences from the overall percentage.

#### **Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation**

**Table 10-14** shows that, overall, 16% of households with adults age 18-64 needed help in finding a job or choosing an occupation in the past. The percentage is much higher in:

- households with only adult children (26%)
- households earning an annual income under \$25,000 and over (43%) and \$25,000-\$50,000 (26%)

The percentage is much lower in:

- households earning an annual income of \$200,000 and over (4%)

### **Help for Jewish Children with Special Needs**

**Table 10-15** shows that, overall, 12% of households with Jewish children age 0-17 needed programs for Jewish children with learning disabilities or other special needs such as developmental disabilities in the past year. The percentage is much higher in:

- households in the West Central (27%)

### **Social Services for Persons Age 75 and Over**

**Table 10-16** shows that, overall, 32% of households with persons age 75 and over needed in-home health care in the past year; 18%, senior transportation; and 7%, home-delivered meals.

The percentages for **in-home health care** is much higher in:

- households earning an annual income of \$25,000-\$50,000 (47%)

The percentage for **senior transportation** is much lower in:

- households earning an annual income of \$100,000 and over (5%)

The percentage for **home-delivered meals** is much lower in:

- households earning an annual income of \$200,000 and over (0%)

<b>TABLE 10-13 NEED FOR HELP IN COORDINATING SERVICES FOR ELDERLY, NON-ELDERLY DISABLED PERSONS AND COUNSELING IN THE PAST YEAR</b>				
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>				
<b>Population Subgroup</b>	<b>Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly Person</b>	<b>Help in Coordinating Services for a Non-Elderly Disabled Person</b>	<b>Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling</b>	<b>Help with Mental Health Issues</b>
All	13.1%	4.9%	13.8%	9.6%
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>				
Northwest	12.3%	3.8%	12.0%	11.9%
North Central	12.0%	5.1%	7.2%	8.0%
East	4.5%	6.2%	17.2%	14.4%
West Central	15.2%	7.1%	14.6%	8.7%
Southeast	13.6%	7.4%	14.5%	7.0%
Southwest	14.6%	0.7%	14.9%	10.1%
<b>HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE</b>				
Household with Children	10.2%	2.7%	19.5%	15.3%
Household with Only Adult Children	8.0%	8.2%	18.6%	13.3%
Non-Elderly Couple	10.9%	5.6%	11.1%	3.2%
Non-Elderly Single	16.8%	3.2%	3.3%	3.7%
Elderly Couple	8.5%	1.6%	9.3%	2.6%
Elderly Single	17.6%	3.7%	10.8%	6.8%



<b>TABLE 10-13 NEED FOR HELP IN COORDINATING SERVICES FOR ELDERLY, NON-ELDERLY DISABLED PERSONS AND COUNSELING IN THE PAST YEAR</b>				
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS</b>				
<b>Population Subgroup</b>	<b>Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly Person</b>	<b>Help in Coordinating Services for a Non-Elderly Disabled Person</b>	<b>Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling</b>	<b>Help with Mental Health Issues</b>
All	13.1%	4.9%	13.8%	9.6%
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>				
An Adult Is Currently Divorced			14.4%	13.6%
An Adult Is Currently Widowed			15.6%	7.8%
<b>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</b>				
Under \$25,000	15.7%	7.0%	15.9%	11.6%
\$25 - \$50,000	16.5%	1.1%	18.4%	17.9%
\$50 - \$100,000	12.4%	8.8%	14.4%	9.1%
\$100 - \$200,000	9.2%	5.4%	15.6%	8.6%
\$200,000 and over	16.5%	4.3%	11.4%	12.2%
<b>TYPE OF MARRIAGE</b>				
In-married			11.2%	
Conversionary			18.3%	
Intermarried			12.7%	

<b>TABLE 10-14 NEED FOR HELP IN FINDING A JOB OR CHOOSING AN OCCUPATION IN THE PAST YEAR</b>	
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH ADULTS AGE 18-64</b>	
<b>Population Subgroup</b>	<b>Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation</b>
All	15.5%
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>	
Northwest	16.6%
North Central	7.5%
East	23.7%
West Central	20.9%
Southeast	7.4%
Southwest	14.0%
<b>HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE</b>	
Household with Children	12.7%
Household with Only Adult Children	26.4%
Non-Elderly Couple	15.2%
Non-Elderly Single	7.3%
<b>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</b>	
Under \$25,000	42.6%
\$25 - \$50,000	26.1%
\$50 - \$100,000	7.2%
\$100 - \$200,000	15.4%
\$200,000 and over	3.8%

<b>TABLE 10-15 HELP FOR JEWISH CHILDREN WITH PHYSICAL, DEVELOPMENTAL, OR LEARNING DISABILITIES, OR OTHER SPECIAL NEEDS IN THE PAST YEAR</b>	
<b>BASE: HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17</b>	
<b>Population Subgroup</b>	<b>Programs for Jewish Children with Learning Disabilities</b>
All	11.5%
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>	
Northwest	11.7%
West Central	26.7%
Southeast	7.6%
Southwest	7.3%
<b>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</b>	
Under \$100,000	12.9%
\$100 - \$200,000	17.5%
\$200,000 and over	7.5%

<b>TABLE 10-16</b>			
<b>NEED FOR SELECTED SOCIAL SERVICES FOR THE ELDERLY IN THE PAST YEAR</b>			
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER</b>			
<b>Population Subgroup</b>	<b>In-Home Health Care</b>	<b>Senior Transportation</b>	<b>Home-Delivered Meals</b>
All	31.7%	18.3%	6.6%
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>			
North Central	29.3%	24.0%	10.5%
West Central	30.7%	14.6%	7.6%
Southeast	31.7%	19.5%	3.7%
Southwest	29.5%	19.1%	7.6%
<b>HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE *</b>			
Elderly Couple	27.0%	9.0%	6.0%
Elderly Single	30.3%	25.9%	3.5%
<b>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</b>			
Under \$25,000	25.0%	19.5%	6.7%
\$25 - \$50,000	47.0%	21.5%	9.4%
\$50 - \$100,000	35.1%	18.8%	12.4%
\$100,000 and over	25.9%	5.0%	0.2%
Note: the overall percentages shown above derives from households with persons age 75 and over in all household structures, not just the elderly couple households and elderly single households shown in the table.			

## HOSPITALIZED FOR THREE OR MORE CONSECUTIVE NIGHTS

**T**able 10-17 show, for various population subgroups, the percentage of Jewish households in Broward that responded that someone in their household age 75 or over was hospitalized for three or more consecutive nights.

**Comparisons Among Population Subgroups.** Overall 26% responded in the affirmative.

The percentage is much higher for:

- households in the Southwest (38%)
- households earning an annual income of \$50,000-\$100,000 (47%)

The percentage is much lower for:

- households in the North Central (6%)

<b>TABLE 10-17 HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH AN ADULT AGE 75+ WAS HOSPITALIZED FOR THREE OR MORE CONSECUTIVE NIGHTS</b>	
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS AGE 75 AND OVER</b>	
<b>Population Subgroup</b>	<b>Hospitalized for Three or More Consecutive Nights</b>
All	26.1%
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>	
North Central	5.8%
West Central	24.1%
Southeast	19.9%
Southwest	38.1%
<b>HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE</b>	
Elderly Couple	29.2%
Elderly Single	21.0%
<b>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</b>	
Under \$25,000	20.2%
\$25,000 - \$50,000	8.9%
\$50,000 - \$100,000	46.8%
\$100,000 and over	25.2%

## CARING FOR ELDERLY RELATIVES

**T**able 10-19 shows that 11% (7,300 households) of Jewish households in Broward in which the respondent is age 40 or over have an elderly relative who lives outside the respondent's home and in some way depends upon the household for their care (*caregiver households*). The respondent defined "care" for himself/herself. Included in the 11% of caregiver households are 7% in which the elderly relative lives in Broward, 2% in which the elderly relative lives in Miami, 1% in which the relative lives in Palm Beach County, and 2% in which the elderly relative lives elsewhere.

Table 10-18 shows where the elderly person needing care lives.

**Community Comparisons.** Table 10-19 shows that the 11% of caregiver households is about average among about 20 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 15% in Miami, 10% in South Palm Beach, and 9% in West Palm Beach.

## THE SANDWICH GENERATION

**T**able 10-20 shows that 14% (2,000 households) of Jewish households with children in Broward in which the respondent is age 40 or over are caregiver households. The adults in these households, who have been called the *sandwich generation*, have the responsibility to care for both minor children at home and elderly relatives who live outside their home.

**Community Comparisons.** Table 10-20 shows that the 14% of households with children who are caregiver households is about average among about 20 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 23% in South Palm Beach, 20% in Las Vegas, 16% in Miami, and 14% in West Palm Beach.

<b>TABLE 10-18</b>	
<b>WHERE ELDERLY PERSON NEEDING CARE LIVES</b>	
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS 40 OR OVER</b>	
<b>Place of Residence and Level of Help Needed</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Relative Lives In Broward</b>	
Own Home Without Help	2.4%
Own Home With Help	2.9
An Independent Living Facility	0.6
An Assisted Living Facility	0.7
A Nursing Home	0.1
<b>Relative Lives in Miami</b>	
Own Home Without Help	1.2
Own Home With Help	0.3
An Independent Living Facility	0.0
An Assisted Living Facility	0.0
A Nursing Home	0.0
<b>Relative Lives in Palm Beach</b>	
Own Home Without Help	0.2
Own Home With Help	0.2
An Independent Living Facility	0.0
An Assisted Living Facility	0.2
A Nursing Home	0.0



<b>TABLE 10-18</b>	
<b>WHERE ELDERLY PERSON NEEDING CARE LIVES</b>	
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS 40 OR OVER</b>	
<b>Place of Residence and Level of Help Needed</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
<b>Relative Lives Outside South Florida</b>	
Own Home Without Help	1.7
Own Home With Help	0.2
An Independent Living Facility	0.1
An Assisted Living Facility	0.2
A Nursing Home	0.2
Broward Total	6.7%
Miami Total	1.5
Palm Beach Total	0.6
Elsewhere Total	2.4
Not Care for an Elderly Relative	88.8
Grand Total	100.0%

<b>TABLE 10-19 CAREGIVER HOUSEHOLDS COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>				
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 40 OR OVER</b>				
		<b>Elderly Relative Who Lives:</b>		
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Within Local Area</b>	<b>Outside Local Area</b>	<b>Total</b>
St. Paul	2004	13%	7	20%
Minneapolis	2004	16%	3	18%
Lehigh Valley	2007	9%	9	18%
Rhode Island	2002	13%	2	15%
New Haven	2010	9%	6	15%
<b>Miami</b>	2014	8%	8	15%
Bergen	2001	12%	2	14%
Detroit	2005	11%	3	14%
Washington	2003	10%	5	14%
Westport	2000	10%	4	14%
Atlantic County	2004	6%	8	14%
Tidewater	2001	12%	1	13%
Tucson	2002	10%	3	13%
San Antonio	2007	8%	5	13%
Jacksonville	2002	9%	3	12%
Middlesex	2008	5%	7	12%
<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11%</b>
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	8%	2	10%
Sarasota	2001	6%	4	10%
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	7%	3	9%
West Palm Beach	2005	7%	2	9%
Hartford <sup>1</sup>	2000	14%	4	18%
Rochester *	1999	11%	4	15%

<b>TABLE 10-19 CAREGIVER HOUSEHOLDS COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>				
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 40 OR OVER</b>				
		<b>Elderly Relative Who Lives:</b>		
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Within Local Area</b>	<b>Outside Local Area</b>	<b>Total</b>
Monmouth * <sup>2</sup>	1997	6%	2	8%

\* Question was asked just about an *elderly parent*, not an elderly relative.  
<sup>1</sup> Question was asked of *respondents age 40-79*.  
<sup>2</sup> Question was asked of *respondents age 50 and over*.

<b>TABLE 10-20</b> <b>HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN WHO ARE CAREGIVER HOUSEHOLDS</b> <b>(THE SANDWICH GENERATION)</b> <b>COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>						
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN AGE 0-17</b> <b>IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 40 OR OVER</b>						
Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
St. Paul	2004	31%		Westport	2000	15%
Middlesex	2008	24%		<b>BROWARD</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>14%</b>
<b>S Palm Beach</b>	2005	23%		<b>W Palm Beach</b>	2005	14%
Lehigh Valley	2007	22%		Jacksonville	2002	14%
San Antonio	2007	20%		Bergen	2001	13%
<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	20%		Tidewater	2001	12%
Rhode Island	2002	19%		Sarasota	2001	8%
New Haven	2010	17%		Hartford <sup>1</sup>	2000	19%
Detroit	2005	17%		Monmouth * <sup>2</sup>	1997	14%
Atlantic County	2004	17%		Rochester *	1999	13%
Minneapolis	2004	17%				
Tucson	2002	17%				
<b>Miami</b>	2014	16%				
Washington	2003	15%				

\* Question was asked just about an *elderly parent*, not an elderly relative.  
<sup>1</sup> Question was asked of *respondents age 40-79*.  
<sup>2</sup> Question was asked of *respondents age 50 and over*.

## LOCAL ADULT CHILDREN

**R**espondents age 40 and over in Jewish households in Broward were asked whether they have adult children who have established their own homes, and if so, whether these children live in Broward (*households with local adult children*), Miami, Palm Beach, or elsewhere. The results are shown in the “Location of Adult Children” section in Chapter 4.

The location of adult children has an impact upon social service needs because households with local adult children often have a support system, particularly in times of poor health or financial crisis, that may not be available to households with no adult children living in Broward. Social service needs tend to increase significantly with age. **Table 4-35** shows that 28% of households in which the respondent is *age 75 or over* have at least one adult child who has established his/her own home in Broward. In addition, 5% have adult children who have established their own homes in Miami and 6% in Palm Beach.

**Community Comparisons.** **Table 10-21** shows that the 28% of households in which the respondent is age 75 or over with local adult children is fifth lowest of about 35 comparison Jewish communities and compares to 40% in both Miami and Las Vegas, 21% in South Palm Beach, and 16% in West Palm Beach. The 28% compares to 17% in 1997.

See the “Location of Adult Children” section in Chapter 4 for more information.

## LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS

**B**ecause income is an issue of demography, it is discussed in Chapter 5. However, income does have an impact upon social service needs in Broward, particularly among elderly households. See the “Low Income Households” and “Households Living Below the Poverty Levels” sections in Chapter 5 for more information.

<b>TABLE 10-21</b> <b>HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 75 OR OVER</b> <b>WITH LOCAL ADULT CHILDREN</b> <b>COMMUNITY COMPARISONS</b>						
<b>BASE: JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT IS AGE 75 OR OVER</b>						
<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>%</b>		<b>Community</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>%</b>
Minneapolis *	2004	79%		Tucson	2002	51%
St. Paul *	2004	77%		Jacksonville	2002	46%
Detroit	2005	67%		Bergen <sup>1</sup>	2001	46%
Tidewater	2001	67%		Wilmington <sup>2</sup>	1995	46%
Baltimore	2010	66%		St. Louis	2014	45%
Chicago	2010	66%		New Haven <sup>3</sup>	2010	44%
Cincinnati	2008	66%		Pittsburgh	2002	44%
New York	2011	64%		York	1999	42%
Denver	2007	63%		Westport	2000	41%
Rochester	1999	63%		Monmouth <sup>4</sup>	1997	41%
Washington	2003	62%		<b>Miami</b> <sup>5</sup>	2014	40%
Rhode Island	2002	57%		<b>Las Vegas</b>	2005	40%
Lehigh Valley	2007	55%		Atlantic County	2004	31%
San Antonio	2007	55%		Middlesex <sup>6</sup>	2008	30%
Hartford	2000	55%		<b>BROWARD</b> <sup>7</sup>	<b>2016</b>	<b>28%</b>
Richmond	1994	55%		<b>S Palm Beach</b> <sup>8</sup>	2005	21%
Milwaukee	1996	54%		<b>Broward</b> <sup>9</sup>	<b>1997</b>	<b>17%</b>
Harrisburg	1994	53%		<b>W Palm Beach</b> <sup>10</sup>	2005	16%
Portland (ME)	2007	52%		Sarasota	2001	16%
Columbus	2013	51%		Howard County <sup>11</sup>	2010	79%
See footnotes on next page.						

\* Local is defined to include both Twin Cities communities.

<sup>1</sup> Excludes 18% of households with adult children living in the New York metropolitan area.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes 7% of households with adult children living in Philadelphia.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes 21% of households with adult children living outside Greater New Haven, but within 90 minutes.

<sup>4</sup> Excludes 10% of households with adult children living in Ocean or Middlesex Counties.

<sup>5</sup> Excludes households with adult children living in Broward, South Palm Beach, or West Palm Beach.

<sup>6</sup> Excludes 48% of households with adult children living outside Middlesex, but within 90 minutes.

<sup>7</sup> Excludes households with adult children living in South Palm Beach, West Palm Beach, or Miami.

<sup>8</sup> Excludes households with adult children living in Broward or Miami.

<sup>9</sup> Excludes households with adult children living in South Palm Beach, West Palm Beach, or Miami.

<sup>10</sup> Excludes households with adult children living in Broward or Miami.

<sup>11</sup> Includes households with adult children living in the Baltimore or Washington area.

Table 10-1: Households in Which an Adult Is Health Limited . . . . .	10-4
Table 10-2: Households in Which a Member Is Health Limited Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-5
Table 10-3: Elderly Couple Households in Which a Member Is Health Limited Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-7
Table 10-4: Elderly Single Households Who Are Health Limited Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-9
Table 10-5: Need for Selected Social Services in the Past Year. . . . .	10-16
Table 10-6: Need for Help in Coordinating Services for an Elderly or Disabled Person in the Past Year, Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-16
Table 10-7: Need for Marital, Family, or Personal Counseling in the Past Year Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-18
Table 10-8: Need for Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation in the Past Year, Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-20
Table 10-9: Need for Programs for Jewish Children with Learning Disabilities or Other Special Needs, such as Developmental Disabilities in the Past Year, Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-22
Table 10-10: Need for In-Home Health Care for the Elderly in the Past Year Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-24
Table 10-11: Need for Senior Transportation in the Past Year Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-26
Table 10-12: Need for Home-Delivered Meals in the Past Year Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-28
Table 10-13: Need for Help in Coordinating Services for Elderly, Non-Elderly Disabled Persons and Counseling in the Past Year . . . . .	10-32
Table 10-14: Need for Help in Finding a Job or Choosing an Occupation in the Past Year . . . . .	10-34
Table 10-15: Help for Jewish Children with Physical, Developmental, or Learning Disabilities, or Other Special Needs in the Past Year . . . . .	10-35
Table 10-16: Need for Selected Social Services for the Elderly in the Past Year .	10-36
Table 10-17: Households in Which an Adult age 75+ was Hospitalized for Three or More Consecutive Nights . . . . .	10-38
Table 10-18: Where Elderly Person Needing Care Lives . . . . .	10-40
Table 10-19: Caregiver Households, Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-42
Table 10-20: Households with Children Who Are Caregiver Households (The Sandwich Generation), Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-44
Table 10-21: Households in Which the Respondent Is Age 75 or Over with Local Adult Children, Community Comparisons . . . . .	10-46